

PUBLIC REPORT TEMPLATE 2011

Please note that this template has been updated based on feedback from a number of Corporations during the recent review of regulations. It is not compulsory for you to use this Public Report template. You may wish to continue to use the previous template, or you may report in another format of your choice. Either is acceptable provided you report all the information required by the EEO Act and Regulations.

There is an explanatory document at pages 5-14 of this template that fully explains how to complete it. There is also some targeted guidance on the template itself.

Part 1 - Corporation Details

Controlling Corporation

Period to which this report relates

Insert the name of the Controlling Corporation exactly as it is registered with the EEO Program. The period to which the report relates is the total period of participation up to 30 June prior to when the report is due.

Murray Goulburn Co-Operative Co. Limited

From

1 July 2006

To

30 June 2011

Table 1.1 - Major Changes to Corporate Group Structure or Operations

Table 1.1 – Major Changes to Corporate Group Structure or Operations

Leitchville has been non operational since February 2010 and as a result was not assessed as planned.

Table 1.2 – Aggregate energy assessed covered in this report

Total energy use covered by all assessments in this report	GJ
4,199,657	
Total energy assessed as percentage of total energy use of the corporate group**	%
83	

* If this report covers only part of the corporate group, then the percentage should be computed on the total energy use for that part of the group covered in this report

Please note that corporations are required to assess 80% or more of their energy use in the first five-year assessment cycle and 90% or more in subsequent five-year assessment cycles. Accordingly, for those corporations with a 2005-06 trigger year (i.e. those corporations at the end of their first-five year assessment cycle), the value in "Percentage of corporation's energy use assessed" above, must be more than 80%.



Declaration

Declaration of accuracy and compliance

The information included in this report has been reviewed and noted by the board of directors and is to the best of my knowledge, correct and in accordance with the *Energy Efficiency Opportunities Act 2006* and *Energy Efficiency Opportunities Regulations 2006*.

Mr. Gary Helou
(Managing Director)

Date 06/02/2012

Part 2 - Assessment Outcomes

Table 2.1a – Assessment Details

It is compulsory to complete a separate table for each group member, business unit, or key activity that has been assessed

Name of group member or business unit or key activity

Cobram & MGN

Total energy use in the last financial year

1,017,337	GJ
-----------	----

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total entity energy use*

85 [#]	%
-----------------	---

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total corporate energy use

17	%
----	---

Accuracy of above estimates related to energy use assessed - only required if not ±5% or better

	%
--	---

Period over which assessment was undertaken

13/04/2010		03/06/2010
------------	--	------------

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment

The energy manager conducted meetings with the site to understand site processes and engage with key stakeholders. An energy review was undertaken and efficiency opportunities identified. Data was collated and a Mass and Energy Balance undertaken. After the initial assessment, opportunities are further developed and tracked through an ongoing Resource Improvement Opportunity (RIO) program. The resulting energy savings are reported in Table 2.2 of this report.

* Please note that, for individual sites that use more than 0.5PJ of energy, all energy use must be assessed (less a small proportion for non integral energy use).

Cobram is above 0.5PJ and has been assessed close to 100%. The MGN site has been integrated into the Cobram site management structure resulting in the 85% coverage above.

Table 2.2a - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment^{(a),(b),(c)}

Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of better than or equal to ±30%		Total Number of opportunities	Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)						Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)	
			0 – < 2 years		2 – ≤ 4 years		> 4 years			
			No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ		
Business Response	Implemented	12 (5)	4 (3)	9,678 (2,887)	2 (nil)	1,200 (nil)	6 (2)	4,585 (254)	15,464 (3,141)	
	Implementation Commenced	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	
	To be Implemented	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	
	Under Investigation	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	
	Not to be Implemented	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	
Outcomes of assessment	Total Identified	12 (5)	4 (3)	9,678 (2,887)	2 (nil)	1,200 (nil)	6 (2)	4,585 (254)	15,463 (3,141)	
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of worse than ±30%										
Business Response	Implemented	2 (6)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Implementation Commenced	nil (1)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	nil
	To be Implemented	1 (2)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Under Investigation	18 (17)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Not to be Implemented	5 (5)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
Outcomes of assessment	Total Identified	26 (31)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*

(a) *Opportunities identified to have an accuracy of worse than 30% are yet to have energy savings payback calculated and as such are still under investigation and complete detail in the table cannot be provided. In some cases no further investigation will be implemented as the size of the opportunity may be minor.

(b) n/a- Information not available. It is common that opportunities > 30% accuracy are concepts only and energy saving and payback information is not available.

(c) Previous reporting year is reported in brackets eg. (2)

Table 2.1b – Assessment Details

It is compulsory to complete a separate table for each group member, business unit, or key activity that has been assessed

Name of group member or business unit or key activity

Koroit

1,052,014	GJ
102 [#]	%
21	%
	%

Total energy use in the last financial year

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total entity energy use*

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total corporate energy use

Accuracy of above estimates related to energy use assessed - only required if not ±5% or better

		17/04/2007	31/12/2008

Period over which assessment was undertaken

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment

The energy manager conducted meetings with the site to understand site processes and engage with key stakeholders. An energy review was undertaken and efficiency opportunities identified. Data was collated and a Mass and Energy Balance undertaken. After the initial assessment, opportunities are further developed and tracked through an ongoing Resource Improvement Opportunity (RIO) program. The resulting energy savings are reported in Table 2.2 of this report.

* Please note that, for individual sites that use more than 0.5PJ of energy, all energy use must be assessed (less a small proportion for non integral energy use).

Greater than 100 per cent on last financial year as assessment was undertaken in 09/10 and energy use decreased in 10/11.

Table 2.2b – Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment^{(a), (b), (c)}

Table 2.2 b – Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment									
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of better than or equal to ±30%		Total Number of opportunities	Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)						Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
			0 – < 2 years		2 – ≤ 4 years		> 4 years		
			No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	
Business Response	Implemented	24 (11)	18 (9)	63,221 (26,645)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	6 (2)	29,843 (337)	84,277 (26,982)
	Implementation Commenced	1 (2)	1 (1)	8,472 (8,472)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (1)	nil (2,066)	17,259 (10,538)
	To be Implemented	nil (1)	nil (1)	nil (24,951)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (24,951)
	Under Investigation	12 (1)	5 (1)	6,218 (23)	4,914 (nil)	4,914 (nil)	1 (nil)	422 (nil)	11,554 (23)
	Not to be Implemented	8 (6)	5 (4)	19,479 (15,780)	3,271 (25,375)	3,271 (25,375)	nil (2)	nil (59)	22,750 (41,214)
	Total Identified	45 (21)	29 (16)	97,389 (75,871)	8,185 (25,375)	8,185 (25,375)	7 (5)	30,265 (2,462)	135,840 (103,708)
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of worse than ±30%*									
Business Response	Implemented	10	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Implementation Commenced	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	To be Implemented	5	2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Under Investigation	41 (31)	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Not to be Implemented	23 (23)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	n/a	under investigation*
Total Identified	82 (54)	6	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	n/a	n/a	under investigation*

Please note that Corporate Groups are **not required** to report opportunities with a payback greater than 4 years. Reporting this data is voluntary.

(a) *Opportunities identified to have an accuracy of worse than 30% are yet to have energy savings payback calculated and as such are still under investigation and detail in the table cannot be provided. In some cases no further investigation will be implemented as the size of the opportunity is minor.

(b) n/a- Information not available. It is common that opportunities > 30% accuracy are concepts only and energy saving and payback information is not available.

(c) Previous reporting year is reported in brackets eg. (2)

Table 2.1c – Assessment Details

It is compulsory to complete a separate table for each group member, business unit, or key activity that has been assessed

Name of group member or business unit or key activity

Leongatha

Total energy use in the last financial year

845,303	GJ
105 [#]	%
17	%
	%

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total entity energy use*

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total corporate energy use

Accuracy of above estimates related to energy use assessed - only required if not ±5% or better

Period over which assessment was undertaken

	5/11/2008		31/12/2009
--	-----------	--	------------

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment

The energy manager conducted meetings with the site to understand site processes and engage with key stakeholders. An energy review was undertaken and efficiency opportunities identified. Data was collated and a Mass and Energy Balance undertaken. After the initial assessment, opportunities are further developed and tracked through an ongoing Resource Improvement Opportunity (RIO) program. The resulting energy savings are reported in Table 2.2 of this report.

* Please note that, for individual sites that use more than 0.5PJ of energy, all energy use must be assessed (less a small proportion for non integral energy use).

Greater than 100 per cent on last financial year as assessment was undertaken in 09/10 and energy use decreased in 10/11.

Table 2.2c - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment^{(a),(b),(c)}

Table 2.2c – Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment											
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of better than or equal to ±30%		Total Number of opportunities	Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)						Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)		
			0 – < 2 years		2 – ≤ 4 years		> 4 years				
			No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ			
Business Response	Implemented	2 (5)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	3,514 (22,750)	2 (5)	3,514 (22,750)	3,514 (22,750)		
	Implementation Commenced	2 (nil)	nil (nil)	1 (nil)	32,000 (nil)	1 (nil)	19,235 (nil)	1 (nil)	51,235 (nil)		
	To be Implemented	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)		
	Under Investigation	3 (1)	1 (nil)	1,572 (nil)	2 (1)	887 (352)	nil (nil)	2,459 (352)			
	Not to be Implemented	1 (1)	1 (1)	5,040 (5,040)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	5,040 (5,040)			
	Total Identified	8 (7)	2 (1)	6,615 (5,040)	3 (1)	32,887 (352)	3 (5)	22,749 (22,750)	62,248 (28,142)		
	Outcomes of assessment										
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of worse than ±30%											
Business Response	Implemented	1 (nil)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Implementation Commenced	nil (nil)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	To be Implemented	nil (nil)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Under Investigation	26 (15)	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
	Not to be Implemented	2 (1)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*
Outcomes of assessment	Total Identified	29 (16)	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*

Please note that Corporate Groups are **not required** to report opportunities with a payback greater than 4 years. Reporting this data is voluntary.

(a) Opportunities identified to have an accuracy of worse than 30% are yet to have energy savings payback calculated and as such are still under investigation and detail in the table cannot be provided. In some cases no further investigation will be implemented as the size of the opportunity is minor.

(b) n/a- Information not available. It is common that opportunities > 30% accuracy are concepts only and energy saving and payback information is not available.

(c) Previous reporting year is reported in brackets eg. (2)

Table 2.1d – Assessment Details

It is compulsory to complete a separate table for each group member, business unit, or key activity that has been assessed

Name of group member or business unit or key activity

Maffra

Total energy use in the last financial year

680,369

GJ

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total entity energy use*

94

%

Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total corporate energy use

13

%

Accuracy of above estimates related to energy use assessed - only required if not ±5% or better

%

Period over which assessment was undertaken

22/04/2009

31/12/2009

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment

The energy manager conducted meetings with the site to understand site processes and engage with key stakeholders. An energy review was undertaken and efficiency opportunities identified. Data was collated and a Mass and Energy Balance undertaken. After the initial assessment, opportunities are further developed and tracked through an ongoing Resource Improvement Opportunity (RIO) program. The resulting energy savings are reported in Table 2.2 of this report.

Table 2.2d - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment (a),(b),(c)

Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of better than or equal to ±30%		Total Number of opportunities	Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)						Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
			0 – < 2 years		2 – ≤ 4 years		> 4 years		
			No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	
Business Response	Implemented	3 (3)	2 (2)	972 (172)	1 (1)	25,858 (25,858)	nil (nil)	26,830 (26,030)	
	Implementation Commenced	1 (1)	1 (1)	2,040 (2,040)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	2,040 (2,040)	
	To be Implemented	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	
	Under Investigation	9 (1)	4 (1)	5,279 (2,600)	3 (nil)	13,821 (nil)	2 (nil)	22,796 (2,600)	
	Not to be Implemented	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	nil (nil)	
Outcomes of assessment	Total Identified	13 (5)	7 (4)	7,491 (4,782)	4 (1)	36,679 (25,858)	2 (nil)	51,666 (30,640)	
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of worse than ±30%									
Business Response	Implemented	3 (nil)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*	
	Implementation Commenced	nil (1)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	To be Implemented	nil (nil)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	Under Investigation	45 (53)	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	n/a	under investigation*
	Not to be Implemented	4 (3)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	n/a	under investigation*
Outcomes of assessment	Total Identified	52 (57)	5	n/a	n/a	2	n/a	under investigation*	

Please note that Corporate Groups are not required to report opportunities with a payback greater than 4 years. Reporting this data is voluntary.

(a) *Opportunities identified to have an accuracy of worse than 30% are yet to have energy savings payback calculated and as such are still under investigation and detail in the table cannot be provided. In some cases no further investigation will be implemented as the size of the opportunity is minor.

(b) n/a- Information not available. It is common that opportunities > 30% accuracy are concepts only and energy saving and payback information is not available.

(c) Previous reporting year is reported in brackets eg. (2)

Table 2.1e – Assessment Details

It is compulsory to complete a separate table for each group member, business unit, or key activity that has been assessed

Name of group member or business unit or key activity		Rochester	
Total energy use in the last financial year	750,303		GJ
Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total entity energy use*	97		%
Energy use assessed in this entity as a percentage of total corporate energy use	14		%
Accuracy of above estimates related to energy use assessed - <u>only required if not ±5% or better</u>			%
Period over which assessment was undertaken	10/08/2010		06/04/2011

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment

Rochester was a new assessment for the reporting year 10/11. The energy manager conducted meetings with the site to understand site processes and engage with key stakeholders. An energy review was undertaken and efficiency opportunities identified. Data was collated and input into a Mass and Energy Balance. After the initial assessment, opportunities are further developed and tracked through an ongoing Resource Improvement Opportunity (RIO) program. The resulting energy savings are reported in Table 2.2 of this report.

* Please note that, for individual sites that use more than 0.5PJ of energy, all energy use must be assessed (less a small proportion for non integral energy use).

Table 2.2e - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment^{(a),(b)}

It is compulsory to complete a separate table for each group member, business unit, or key activity that has been assessed

Table 2.2e – Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment									
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of better than or equal to ±30%	Total Number of opportunities	Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)						Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)	
		0 – < 2 years		2 – ≤ 4 years		> 4 years			
		No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ		
Business Response	4	3	3,021	1	72	nil	nil	3,093	
Implemented	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
Implementation Commenced	1	1	2,941	nil	nil	nil	nil	2,941	
To be Implemented	1	nil	nil	1	991	nil	nil	991	
Under Investigation	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
Not to be Implemented	6	4	5,962	2	1,063	nil	nil	7,025	
Total Identified									
Outcomes of assessment									
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of worse than ±30%									
Business Response	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
Implemented	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
Implementation Commenced	2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*	
To be Implemented	2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*	
Under Investigation	4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	under investigation*	
Not to be Implemented									
Total Identified									
Outcomes of assessment									

(a) *Opportunities identified to have an accuracy of worse than 30% are yet to have energy savings payback calculated and as such are still under investigation and detail in the table cannot be provided. In some cases no further investigation will be implemented as the size of the opportunity is minor.

(b) n/a- Information not available. It is common that opportunities > 30% accuracy are concepts only and energy saving and payback Information is not available.

Table 2.3 - Details of significant opportunities identified in the assessment

Corporate Groups are required to provide at least 3 examples of significant opportunities for improving the energy efficiency of the group that have been identified in assessments.

Description of Opportunity	Voluntary Information										
Waste heat recovery from Dryers at Koroit. Installation of new pre heater coils into the dryer inlets that will use water heated from the evaporator to reduce the amount of steam required for dryer inlet temperatures to be achieved. A reconfiguration of evaporator cooling tower water was implemented to assist in heating the inlet and cooling the evaporator vapour.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="384 533 416 1070">Business Response</td> <td data-bbox="384 194 416 533">Implemented</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="421 533 453 1070">Energy saved (GJ p.a.)</td> <td data-bbox="421 194 453 533">25,738</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="458 533 528 1070">Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.</td> <td data-bbox="458 194 528 533">1,300</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 533 564 1070">\$s saved p.a.</td> <td data-bbox="533 194 564 533">\$245,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="569 533 612 1070">Payback period</td> <td data-bbox="569 194 612 533">2.9 years</td> </tr> </table>	Business Response	Implemented	Energy saved (GJ p.a.)	25,738	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	1,300	\$s saved p.a.	\$245,000	Payback period	2.9 years
Business Response	Implemented										
Energy saved (GJ p.a.)	25,738										
Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	1,300										
\$s saved p.a.	\$245,000										
Payback period	2.9 years										

Description of Opportunity	Voluntary Information										
Conduct an audit of steam reticulation throughout the site and establish a leak reduction schedule.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="751 622 783 1070">Business Response</td> <td data-bbox="751 194 783 622">To be Implemented</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 622 820 1070">Energy saved (GJ p. a)</td> <td data-bbox="788 194 820 622">2,941</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="825 622 895 1070">Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.</td> <td data-bbox="825 194 895 622">1,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="900 622 932 1070">\$s saved p.a</td> <td data-bbox="900 194 932 622">\$92,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="936 622 979 1070">Payback period</td> <td data-bbox="936 194 979 622">4 months</td> </tr> </table>	Business Response	To be Implemented	Energy saved (GJ p. a)	2,941	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	1,000	\$s saved p.a	\$92,000	Payback period	4 months
Business Response	To be Implemented										
Energy saved (GJ p. a)	2,941										
Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	1,000										
\$s saved p.a	\$92,000										
Payback period	4 months										

Description of Opportunity	Voluntary Information										
Utilise biogas generated at Leongatha Waste Water Treatment Plant as a fuel to generate base load electricity via an internal combustion engine and generator. The electricity generated will feed directly into the plant, directly offsetting demand from the grid by approximately 10%. Two gen-sets have been installed – 1 x 500kW and 1 x 260kW electrical output.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1115 622 1147 1070">Business Response</td> <td data-bbox="1115 194 1147 622">Implementation underway</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1152 622 1184 1070">Energy saved (GJ) p.a.</td> <td data-bbox="1152 194 1184 622">32,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1189 622 1259 1070">Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.</td> <td data-bbox="1189 194 1259 622">tbd</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1264 622 1295 1070">\$s saved p.a</td> <td data-bbox="1264 194 1295 622">723,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1300 622 1343 1070">Payback period</td> <td data-bbox="1300 194 1343 622">2.5 years</td> </tr> </table>	Business Response	Implementation underway	Energy saved (GJ) p.a.	32,000	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	tbd	\$s saved p.a	723,000	Payback period	2.5 years
Business Response	Implementation underway										
Energy saved (GJ) p.a.	32,000										
Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	tbd										
\$s saved p.a	723,000										
Payback period	2.5 years										

Description of Opportunity	Voluntary Information				
Harness energy liberated during step down of steam pressure as a means to generate electrical power via a steam turbine driven generator. The	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1453 622 1485 1070">Business Response</td> <td data-bbox="1453 194 1485 622">To be implemented</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1490 622 1522 1070">Energy saved (GJ) p.a.</td> <td data-bbox="1490 194 1522 622">19,325</td> </tr> </table>	Business Response	To be implemented	Energy saved (GJ) p.a.	19,325
Business Response	To be implemented				
Energy saved (GJ) p.a.	19,325				

steam turbine-generator replaces a simple and wasteful steam pressure reduction valve.	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e) p.a.	tbd
	\$s saved p.a	428,000
	Payback period	7 years

Please note that the "Description of the Opportunity" above should include information on the specific nature and type of opportunity, as well as information on the type of equipment and/or process involved.

...